



Independent Research & Further Reading

Guest: David Eagleman

Disclaimer 1: The sources presented here, directly (or as closely as possible), look at statements made by the guest in this episode. To report on each topic thoroughly, an extensive search and review (beyond the scope of this document) would be required.

Disclaimer 2: This podcast and its associated materials do not aim to substitute professional medical advice. For any medical concerns, it is essential to consult a qualified health professional.

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Contents | 1 |
| Internal Models of the World in the Brain | 3 |
| Neuron Count and Synaptic Pruning in the Human Brain | 4 |
| The “10% of the Brain” Myth | 5 |
| Early Language Deprivation and Lifelong Capacity | 6 |
| Comparative Brain Structure and Plasticity in Ageing | 7 |
| Ulysses Contracts: Self-Binding Agreements | 9 |
| Alzheimer’s Pathology Without Cognitive Impairment | 9 |
| Retirement, Mortality, and Cognitive Decline | 10 |
| Social Engagement and Brain Health | 11 |
| Brain Activity in Early Learning vs Expertise | 12 |
| Musical Training and Motor Cortex Plasticity | 12 |
| Benefits of Exercise for the Brain | 13 |
| Effort and Willingness to Pay | 14 |
| AI and the Human Brain: Similarities and Differences | 15 |
| Aphantasia, Hyperphantasia, and Drawing Ability | 16 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Synesthesia | 17 |
| Visual Cortex Reorganisation in Blindness | 18 |
| Dreaming and the “Defensive Activation” Hypothesis | 19 |
| References | 21 |

Internal Models of the World in the Brain

“how the brain, which is locked inside the skull (...) How it constructs this model of the world”

Research suggests that the brain constructs internal models of the world, using them to predict sensory input and guide behaviour. Predictive or generative frameworks propose that perception and action arise from continuous inference, where the brain updates its expectations based on incoming information.

Evidence from hippocampal–cortical systems shows that the brain forms cognitive maps, encoding spatial and relational structure to support navigation and planning. Behavioural findings, such as the ability to act on objects out of view, further indicate the presence of stable internal representations, linked to regions like the precuneus and superior parietal cortex.

Neuroimaging studies provide direct support for model-based processing. Activity in primary visual cortex (V1) reflects inferred features of unseen parts of a scene, while higher-order regions in the prefrontal cortex and default mode network maintain predictive representations of events and update them through prediction errors. Overall, converging evidence from theory, behaviour, and neuroscience supports the view that the brain actively constructs and updates internal models to support perception, memory, and action.

Alternative Theories

There are several competing or alternative frameworks to the free-energy principle (FEP) and active inference, even among theories that agree the brain is predictive or probabilistic. Some approaches, such as predictive coding and broader Bayesian models, describe how the brain may implement prediction and inference without requiring a global free-energy minimisation principle or an account of action grounded in active inference. Similarly, reinforcement learning frameworks, including successor representations, explain goal-directed behaviour through learned predictive structures without positing full generative models of the world in the FEP sense.

Other theories retain the idea of hierarchical prediction but reject FEP’s specific formalism. Predictive processing is sometimes treated as an independent framework centred on prediction-error minimisation, without invoking thermodynamic principles or variational free energy.

Likewise, computational models of hierarchical world representations in the cortex often develop generative architectures without adopting the broader philosophical commitments of FEP.

More critical perspectives emerge from ecological and enactive approaches, which challenge the notion that the brain constructs internal models of a hidden external world. Instead, they emphasise dynamic interactions between brain, body, and environment, arguing that cognition arises through embodied engagement rather than internal representation. Additional critiques question whether FEP offers a distinct explanatory advantage, suggesting it may redescribe general Bayesian principles rather than provide a uniquely constrained or testable theory.

References 1-20

Neuron Count and Synaptic Pruning in the Human Brain

“in the brain you've got 86 billion cells called neurons (...) You get this at first, you're born with these 86 billion neurons, and they connect and connect and connect, and it finally becomes like a overgrown garden at the age of two. And from there you're pruning from there, you're taking connections away.”

The commonly cited figure of 86 billion neurons is a useful approximation, but it is not an exact or universal count. Modern estimates are largely based on studies using the isotropic fractionator method, which directly counts cells in brain tissue. One influential study reported approximately 86 billion neurons, and this value has since become widely adopted.

However, broader reviews of the evidence suggest a range rather than a single number. Across different studies and methods, estimates typically fall between about 70 and 90 billion neurons. More recent analyses have highlighted variability in the data, with reported counts spanning roughly 60 to nearly 100 billion, reflecting differences in samples, methods, and individual brains. The most accurate conclusion is that the human brain contains on the order of tens of billions of neurons, with 86 billion serving as a reasonable central estimate rather than a precise biological constant.

Synaptic Pruning Across Development

Research supports the idea that the brain undergoes synaptic pruning, but not in the simplified form suggested by the claim. Early development is characterised by an overproduction of synapses, followed by activity-dependent pruning that helps refine neural circuits. This process does occur in the first years of life, contributing to high levels of early connectivity.

However, evidence shows that pruning does not stop after age two. Instead, it continues across multiple developmental stages, including childhood, adolescence, and into early adulthood. In particular, regions such as the prefrontal cortex, which are involved in higher-order cognition and social functioning, exhibit prolonged periods of synaptic elimination and reorganisation, with synaptic density declining gradually from childhood through the third decade of life.

Moreover, while the basic structure of brain networks is established early, connectivity continues to be refined over time. This involves not only the elimination of synapses but also the strengthening and integration of remaining connections, leading to more efficient and specialised neural networks. Overall, neural connectivity does not simply peak at age two and then decline. Instead, it undergoes extended, region-specific refinement, with synaptic pruning continuing well beyond early childhood.

References 21-39

The “10% of the Brain” Myth

“I hear all the time, this idea that we only use 10% of our brains, that's not true.”

There is no scientific evidence to support the claim that humans use only 10% of their brains; it is widely recognised as a neuromyth. Neuroscience research, including brain imaging techniques such as fMRI and PET, shows that most regions of the brain are active over the course of normal functioning, even during rest. Different tasks recruit different networks, but virtually all areas of the brain have identifiable functions and are used at various times.

From an evolutionary perspective, the idea is also implausible. The brain is metabolically expensive, and it would be unlikely for such a large proportion of it to remain unused without being reduced over time through natural selection. Clinical evidence further contradicts the myth, as damage to almost any brain region typically produces noticeable functional impairments. The origin

of the 10% claim is likely due to misinterpretations of early neurological findings and later popularisation in media and self-help contexts. Overall, the evidence shows that humans use their entire brain over time, not a small, fixed fraction.

References 40-44

Early Language Deprivation and Lifelong Capacity

“you need to learn [the concept of] language in the first several years of your life. If you don't learn language, you can never get the concept of language. Your brain will never figure that out. This happens sometimes with children who are terribly abused. they're known as feral children.”

Evidence shows that failure to acquire language in early childhood has severe and lasting effects, but does not typically result in a complete inability to develop language. Studies of deaf individuals with little or no early language exposure, as well as evidence from so-called feral children and home-signers, consistently show that delayed first-language acquisition leads to persistent deficits, especially in grammar and complex linguistic structures.

Research indicates the presence of sensitive periods, particularly for grammar and morphology, with outcomes worsening the later language exposure begins (often after around 6–8 years). Individuals deprived of early input rarely achieve native-like proficiency in any language. However, they are still able to acquire basic vocabulary, simple sentence structures, and functional communication. Overall, early language deprivation seriously compromises the brain's capacity for full language development, but does not eliminate the ability to acquire language altogether.

Childhood Maltreatment and Language Development

Severe neglect, abuse, or trauma in childhood is strongly associated with delays and impairments in language development, but not with a complete failure to acquire language. Research consistently shows that maltreated children perform worse than non-maltreated peers across receptive (understanding), expressive (speaking), and pragmatic (social use) language domains, with moderate to large effect sizes.

Neglect, particularly when early and prolonged, appears most strongly linked to language difficulties, likely due to reduced caregiver interaction and limited linguistic input. Children raised in neglectful or institutional environments often show lower language and cognitive abilities, with grammar frequently more affected than vocabulary. Greater severity, earlier onset, and longer duration of trauma are associated with more pronounced deficits.

Despite these challenges, maltreated children still develop functional language. They typically produce shorter utterances, show reduced syntactic complexity, and have weaker expressive and receptive skills, but they continue to use language productively. Some patterns may even reflect adaptation rather than total impairment.

References 45-61.

Comparative Brain Structure and Plasticity in Ageing

“Here's the thing about brain plasticity. Human beings have a similar brain to all our neighbors in the animal kingdom. If you compare our brain to a horse brain, a dog brain, anything like that, it's the same general structures and stuff. But what we have is much more of the wrinkly outer bit called the cortex (...)

we often think that plasticity diminishes as you age, but it's not simply that it's diminishing. It's that you are getting the right answers about how to operate in the world (...) you don't have to change as much. Your brain doesn't require as much change.”

Evidence shows that human brains share the same fundamental structural organisation as other mammals. Across species, there is a conserved layout, including a layered neocortex (typically six layers), similar major lobes, and common brainstem and cerebellar structures. These shared features extend to cellular organisation and circuit patterns, indicating a common evolutionary blueprint.

Where humans differ is primarily in scale and degree rather than basic design. The human neocortex is disproportionately large, comprising a high percentage of total brain mass, and contains a greater number of neurons following primate scaling rules. Human brains are also highly folded

(gyrified), but this reflects general biological principles seen across mammals, where larger brains tend to exhibit more cortical folding due to surface area expansion.

In addition, humans show pronounced expansion in association cortices, particularly in frontal and parietal regions involved in higher-order cognition. These differences represent elaborations of a shared mammalian structure rather than a fundamentally distinct type of brain.

Brain Plasticity and Ageing

Evidence suggests that age-related changes in brain plasticity reflect both biological reductions and adaptive stabilisation, rather than a simple decline. Ageing is associated with decreases in synaptic plasticity (e.g., long-term potentiation and depression), neurogenesis, and neuronal excitability, particularly in regions such as the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. These changes are linked to mechanisms including oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and altered neuromodulation, which can impair learning and memory.

At the same time, older adults often show preserved performance in well-learned skills and knowledge, indicating greater stability of existing neural representations. Neuroimaging studies also show compensatory reorganisation, such as increased bilateral brain activity and network “scaffolding,” which may support efficiency and maintain function despite underlying decline. Concepts such as cognitive reserve and compensation further emphasise that ageing involves a balance between loss and adaptation.

Importantly, plasticity is not lost altogether. Training and enriched environments can still produce structural and functional brain changes in older adults, although these effects are typically more constrained and require stronger input. Overall, ageing reflects a shift in plasticity, combining reduced flexibility with increased stability and context-dependent adaptability, rather than a uniform loss of capacity.

References 62-78.

Ulysses Contracts: Self-Binding Agreements

“So this is what's known in the literature as a Ulysses contract (...) a Ulysses contract is where you do something now to prevent yourself from behaving badly in the near future”

A Ulysses contract is an advance, self-binding agreement in which a person authorises others to follow their prior instructions even if they later refuse or resist. The concept comes from Homer's *Odyssey*, where Odysseus has himself tied to a mast and instructs his crew to ignore his future pleas, ensuring he can hear the Sirens without acting on their lure.

In modern contexts, particularly in psychiatry, Ulysses contracts (often called psychiatric advance directives) are used by individuals with episodic conditions such as bipolar disorder or schizophrenia. While competent, a person specifies future interventions, such as hospitalisation or treatment, that should occur if certain relapse indicators appear, even if they later reject those interventions. These agreements are typically justified as preserving a person's deeper, long-term autonomy by protecting their considered values against predictable periods of impaired judgement. Overall, a Ulysses contract is a mechanism for committing one's future self to a predetermined course of action in anticipation of diminished decision-making capacity.

References 79-88.

Alzheimer's Pathology Without Cognitive Impairment

“there's a study that's been going on for decades now called the Religious Orders Study, where a bunch of Catholic nuns agreed to donate their brains for autopsy when they passed away (...) and what the researchers discovered when they look at the brain carefully is that some fraction of these nuns had Alzheimer's disease. Their brains were physically degenerating with the ravages of this dementia, but they didn't show any of the cognitive deficits that one normally has. They didn't seem to be having memory problems and so on.”

Evidence from longitudinal clinico-pathologic studies, including the Religious Orders Study and related cohorts, shows that individuals can exhibit substantial Alzheimer's disease (AD) neuropathology without clear clinical symptoms. A significant proportion of participants who died

without cognitive impairment were found to have amyloid plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, or other pathologies typically associated with dementia. In some cases, individuals met diagnostic thresholds for AD pathology yet maintained near-normal cognitive performance, with only subtle deficits in areas such as episodic memory.

This apparent dissociation is largely explained by the concepts of cognitive reserve and neural resilience. Factors such as higher education, intellectual engagement, and occupational complexity are associated with reduced risk of clinical impairment, even in the presence of pathology. At the neural level, differences in brain structure and function, including greater neuron density, synaptic integrity, and efficient network dynamics, may help buffer against the cognitive impact of disease. Overall, these findings demonstrate that Alzheimer's pathology and cognitive decline are not perfectly coupled. Many individuals can tolerate significant brain changes without overt symptoms, likely due to compensatory mechanisms that preserve function until a later threshold is reached.

References 89-98.

Retirement, Mortality, and Cognitive Decline

“Steven: is there data to support that, that when you retire, if you retire early, or if you retire, say in your sixties, it increases your probability of an earlier death or cognitive decline?”

David: Almost certainly with cognitive decline because (...) you're just not getting the challenge at that point.”

Evidence on earlier retirement and its effects on mortality and cognition is mixed, and strongly influenced by prior health, job conditions, and post-retirement lifestyle. Some studies find that retiring earlier is associated with higher mortality, while others show no effect once factors such as baseline health and socioeconomic status are controlled. In many cases, higher mortality among early retirees appears to reflect selection effects, where individuals in poorer health retire earlier.

For cognitive outcomes, there is more consistent evidence linking retirement to faster cognitive decline, often described as a “mental retirement” effect. Reduced cognitive, social, and goal-directed engagement after leaving work may contribute to this pattern. However, findings are not uniform. In some populations, particularly those in physically demanding or lower-skill jobs,

earlier retirement can be neutral or even beneficial for cognitive health. Overall, the relationship is not straightforward. Retirement itself is not inherently harmful, but its effects depend on context. Continued engagement in mentally, socially, and physically stimulating activities appears to be a key factor in maintaining cognitive function, regardless of retirement age.

References 99-112

Social Engagement and Brain Health

“it turns out social life is one of the most important things that we can do for our brains”

Evidence consistently shows that social engagement is an important factor in maintaining brain health and is associated with a lower risk of cognitive decline and dementia. Large longitudinal studies and meta-analyses report that individuals with higher levels of social interaction and participation have a reduced risk of dementia, while social isolation and weak social networks are linked to increased risk.

Regular social contact, group activities, and socially engaging occupations are associated with better cognitive outcomes and higher dementia-free survival. Some intervention studies also show modest improvements in cognitive functions, particularly executive function, following increased social interaction.

These benefits are thought to arise through multiple mechanisms, including increased cognitive reserve, reduced stress and inflammation, improved mental health, and healthier behaviours. While much of the evidence is observational and causal proof remains limited, the overall pattern strongly supports social engagement as a significant and modifiable contributor to cognitive health.

References 113-119

Brain Activity in Early Learning vs Expertise

“when I'm in novice at something, my brain is using much more activity. Not just the anterior mid cingulate, but tons of activity all over because I'm trying to figure out the rules (...) as an expert (...) You don't need to burn much activity.”

Evidence supports the claim that early stages of skill learning involve more widespread and often higher brain activity, including the anterior/mid cingulate cortex (ACC/mid-cingulate). Neuroimaging and meta-analytic studies show that novice learners recruit broad cortical and subcortical networks, including prefrontal, parietal, cerebellar, striatal, and cingulate regions, reflecting increased demands on attention, control, and error monitoring.

The anterior and dorsal cingulate cortex, in particular, plays a prominent role during early learning. It is associated with cognitive control, performance monitoring, and adaptation, and shows stronger engagement during initial skill acquisition. As learning progresses and performance becomes more automatic, activity in these regions typically decreases, alongside a reduction in overall brain-wide activation. With expertise, neural activity becomes more efficient and specialised, shifting toward more focal sensorimotor and task-specific circuits. Overall, the evidence supports a transition from widespread, effortful processing in early learning to more efficient, streamlined neural activity with skill mastery.

References 120-127.

Musical Training and Motor Cortex Plasticity

“if you are a pianist, if you play piano, then we can actually see physical changes in your motor cortex (...) you actually get a bigger loop of tissue here than you do in a normal brain. Why? Because you're doing so much fine motor activity with your fingers, with both hands, okay? In contrast, if you're a violinist, you're only really doing that kind of detailed activity with one hand and the other hand's just bowing. And so you only get that activity here in one half of the brain for violinists. So I can look at a brain and tell, Hey, is the person a pianist or a violinist or neither?”

Evidence shows that intensive musical training leads to measurable structural changes in the motor cortex and related sensorimotor networks. Studies consistently find increased grey matter, altered cortical thickness, and changes in white-matter pathways (e.g., corticospinal tract), with effects often scaling with years and intensity of practice. Longitudinal research further confirms that such changes can emerge within months of training.

There is also evidence of instrument- and effector-specific adaptations. For example, violinists tend to show greater representation of the left hand in motor and somatosensory cortex, while pianists often exhibit more bilateral changes reflecting the use of both hands. Other differences, such as hemispheric asymmetries and connectivity patterns, have been observed across instrument types. However, these differences are subtle and overlapping at the individual level. While group-level patterns can distinguish categories of musicians, current evidence does not support reliably identifying a person's specific instrument from motor cortex structure alone.

References 128-138.

Benefits of Exercise for the Brain

“the general story is exercise is really important for the brain.”

Exercise produces consistent, small-to-moderate improvements in cognitive function and brain health across the lifespan. The strongest effects are seen in memory and executive function (e.g. attention, planning, decision-making), alongside reductions in depression and anxiety, which further support cognitive performance.

At a structural level, regular exercise is associated with increased hippocampal volume (a key memory region), improved grey matter in frontal and temporal areas, and better white matter integrity. It also enhances cerebral blood flow and functional connectivity, supporting more efficient brain function.

These effects are driven by multiple biological mechanisms. Exercise promotes neuroplasticity (the brain's ability to change), including neurogenesis (the formation of new neurons) and synaptogenesis (formation of new connections), particularly in the hippocampus. It also increases neurotrophic factors such as BDNF and IGF-1, improves vascular and metabolic function,

and reduces inflammation and stress-related processes. Overall, exercise supports cognition, mood, and long-term brain resilience, although the optimal type and amount of exercise remain areas of ongoing research.

References 139-150.

Effort and Willingness to Pay

“it turns out there are psychology studies where (...) You'll pay much more for the thing that looks like it took a lot of effort.”

Evidence shows that people are often willing to pay more for products that appear to require greater effort to make, but this effect depends on how that effort is interpreted. When effort signals care, authenticity, or craftsmanship, consumers tend to value products more highly. For example, items described as handmade or artisanal are typically associated with higher willingness to pay, even when identical to machine-made alternatives.

Experimental studies also show that when firms visibly invest effort in producing or presenting a product, consumers respond more positively, often due to perceived sincerity or gratitude. Similarly, design features that suggest creativity and labour can increase perceived value. However, effort is not always rewarded. If it is seen as irrelevant, inefficient, or manipulative, it may have no effect or even reduce perceived value. Overall, effort increases willingness to pay primarily when it is viewed as meaningful and tied to quality or authenticity.

References 151-159.

AI and the Human Brain: Similarities and Differences

“Steve: When you think about the brain and how it's built, and then you think about the exact technology that they've used to create AI, isn't it very, very similar? And if so, if it is similar, what does that say about human's role in the future. David: Yeah. it's similar, but it's not the same, which is why with AI you get what we call jagged intelligence, meaning that it can do something so extraordinarily smart and then in the next moment given an answer that's weird and doesn't make any sense (...) they both have converged on something that we would call intelligence, but it's a pretty different structure. This was even though AI was inspired by the brain (...)”

Evidence shows that modern AI systems, particularly deep neural networks, share some functional similarities with the human brain, but differ fundamentally in structure and mechanism. In domains such as vision and language, AI models can develop internal representations that correlate with patterns of brain activity, and both systems process information hierarchically, from simple features to more abstract representations.

However, these similarities are limited. The underlying structure and learning mechanisms are markedly different. Biological brains rely on local synaptic plasticity, continuous learning, and embodied interaction with the environment, whereas AI systems depend on non-local optimisation methods (e.g. backpropagation), large datasets, and lack true biological integration.

At a cognitive level, humans exhibit flexible generalisation, rich memory, and adaptive behaviour across contexts, while AI systems are more constrained, excelling in specific tasks but lacking the same breadth and robustness. Overall, AI and the brain show partial functional convergence in certain tasks, but remain deeply different in structure, learning processes, and overall form of intelligence.

Jagged Intelligence in Modern AI

Evidence strongly supports the idea that modern AI systems exhibit “jagged intelligence,” meaning highly uneven performance across tasks. Studies show that AI can perform exceptionally well on certain tasks while failing or even producing misleading outputs on others that appear similar in domain or difficulty. For example, in real-world experiments, AI assistance can significantly

improve speed and quality on some knowledge-work tasks, yet reduce accuracy on others outside its effective range. Similarly, across multimodal systems, small changes in task type can lead to inconsistent or contradictory outputs, and multi-task models often perform unevenly due to competing optimisation demands. In addition, more advanced models can produce confident but incorrect answers, indicating that increased capability does not necessarily translate into reliable performance across contexts. Overall, AI systems demonstrate strong but fragmented competence, excelling in specific areas while remaining unstable or error-prone in others.

References 160-168.

Aphantasia, Hyperphantasia, and Drawing Ability

“hyperphantasia, which means you have very rich visualization (...) it turns out that if you are an aphantasia kid, you're gonna become better at drawing because you have to really pay attention to the subject out there and really have a dialogue with the page with your pencil. Whereas a kid who's hyperphantasia might say, oh, I know what a horse looks like, and just draws it (...)

So we've done lots of studies about what this translates to in terms of your capacities in the world. Nothing. Why does it translate to nothing? It's because you can accomplish tasks in a hundred different ways (...) there's nothing obvious”

Evidence suggests that individuals with aphantasia differ from those with typical or vivid imagery primarily in *how* they draw from memory, rather than in overall drawing ability. In memory-based drawing tasks, people with aphantasia tend to include fewer object details, use less colour, and rely more on verbal or symbolic strategies (e.g. labels), while maintaining accurate spatial layouts. They also tend to make fewer false additions, indicating a more conservative, detail-limited recall style. However, when drawing from direct observation, there are no significant differences in performance between individuals with aphantasia and those with typical imagery. This indicates that basic drawing skill, effort, and perceptual accuracy are largely intact.

Direct evidence comparing aphantasia and hyperphantasia in drawing is limited. Some findings suggest that individuals with more vivid imagery may perform better on object-based imagery tasks, but clear differences in drawing ability have not been robustly established. Overall,

aphantasia does not confer a general advantage or disadvantage in drawing skill, but it is associated with distinct strategies and differences in memory-based visual recall.

Imagery Vividness and Task Performance

Evidence shows that differences in visual imagery vividness (from aphantasia to hyperphantasia) do influence task performance, but the effects are selective rather than global. The clearest differences appear in domains such as autobiographical memory, imagination, and visual recognition, where performance tends to scale with imagery vividness (hyperphantasia > typical > aphantasia). Individuals with aphantasia often recall fewer visual details (e.g. objects, colours) and may show mild deficits in recognition tasks.

However, on many standard cognitive tasks, overall accuracy is similar across groups. Differences more often emerge in strategy and efficiency rather than raw ability. For example, individuals with aphantasia may rely more on verbal or analytic strategies, sometimes performing as accurately but more slowly, while those with vivid imagery may respond faster or use more image-based processing. Overall, imagery vividness affects how tasks are performed, particularly in memory richness, recognition, and processing style, but many cognitive outcomes remain comparable due to the use of alternative strategies.

References 169-178.

Synesthesia

“Synesthesia is having a blending of the senses (...). At least 3% of the population has this. It's not a disease or a disorder, it's just an alternative perceptual reality.”

Synesthesia is a perceptual phenomenon in which a stimulus in one sensory or cognitive domain automatically and consistently triggers an additional experience in another domain. For example, individuals may perceive specific colours when seeing letters or numbers (grapheme–colour synesthesia), experience tastes when hearing words, or mentally map numbers in spatial layouts. These experiences are stable over time, involuntary, and typically feel percept-like rather than imagined. Synesthesia is generally considered a benign variation in cognition rather than a disorder.

Estimates of its prevalence vary depending on how broadly it is defined and which subtypes are included. More specific forms, such as grapheme–colour synesthesia, are estimated to occur in around 1% of the population. When a wider range of synesthetic experiences is considered, prevalence estimates increase, with many studies suggesting that at least around 4% of people have some form of synesthesia, and some estimates reaching higher when broader criteria are used. Overall, while precise global prevalence remains uncertain due to methodological differences and limited cross-cultural data, synesthesia appears to be a relatively common cognitive variation affecting several percent of the population.

References 179-183.

Visual Cortex Reorganisation in Blindness

“if you go blind, the visual cortex of the back of the brain gets taken over by hearing and by touch and by other things, and it's no longer visual cortex.”

Evidence indicates that the visual cortex is repurposed for processing other sensory modalities, such as touch and hearing, in individuals who are blind, particularly in cases of congenital or early blindness. Neuroimaging studies show that occipital regions, typically associated with vision, are actively recruited during tasks such as Braille reading, tactile object recognition, and auditory processing (e.g. sound localisation and pitch discrimination). Importantly, this activity is not merely incidental. Disruption of the visual cortex using transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) impairs tactile tasks like Braille reading in blind individuals, indicating that these regions play a functional role in non-visual processing. Additionally, studies using sensory substitution devices demonstrate that visual cortex can respond robustly to auditory or tactile inputs.

While the cortex becomes responsive to new modalities, it often retains some functional specialisation. For example, regions associated with motion or shape processing continue to perform analogous roles, but using non-visual input. This reorganisation is most pronounced when blindness occurs early in life, suggesting a sensitive developmental window, though some degree of plasticity is also observed in adults. Overall, the visual cortex in blind individuals is not inactive but reorganised to support other sensory and cognitive functions.

Dreaming and the “Defensive Activation” Hypothesis

“The purpose of dreaming is to defend the visual territory from takeover from the other senses. So every 90 minutes you've got this very ancient thing in your midbrain that shoots random activity into the visual system and only the visual system, only this very tiny part of the visual system. Every 90 minutes, you just blast random activity in here. And the reason is you are just defending that territory against takeover. Now, the reason that all this came together is because our colleagues at Harvard did an experiment where they took normally sighted people and they blindfolded them tightly for 60 minutes, and it turns out that 60 minutes was sufficient for the visual cortex to start responding to sound and to touch (...)”

The evidence does not establish that dreaming evolved to defend the visual cortex from takeover by other senses, although some findings are consistent with this idea. The Defensive Activation Theory (DAT) proposes that REM sleep periodically activates visual cortex to prevent cross-modal reorganisation during visual deprivation. Supporting observations include correlations between REM sleep and neuroplasticity across species, age-related declines in both REM and plasticity, and well-established evidence that visual cortex can be rapidly recruited for other modalities under deprivation.

However, this evidence is indirect and not specific to the theory. While REM sleep robustly activates visual cortex and plays a role in neural plasticity, these effects are also consistent with broader functions of sleep, such as memory consolidation, synaptic homeostasis, and emotional processing. Importantly, some comparative data challenge the theory, for example findings that blind species exhibit similar REM sleep proportions to sighted ones. Overall, the hypothesis that dreaming serves to protect visual cortex is plausible but remains speculative. Current evidence shows that REM sleep engages and shapes visual systems, but does not demonstrate that its primary function is to prevent sensory takeover.

Cross-Modal Activation of Visual Cortex After Short-Term Blindfolding

Research shows that short-term blindfolding in sighted individuals can lead to rapid cross-modal activation of the visual cortex. Within 45–90 minutes, studies report increased occipital excitability and tactile enhancements, indicating early plastic changes. After a few hours, neuroimaging findings show altered activity and connectivity in intermediate visual areas during tactile tasks, and by around 6 hours, some individuals exhibit auditory-like responses in occipital cortex.

With longer deprivation (e.g. several days combined with tactile training), visual cortex becomes strongly and causally involved in non-visual processing, as demonstrated by disruption of Braille performance following occipital stimulation.

These effects are reversible once vision is restored. The underlying mechanism is best explained by the unmasking of pre-existing multisensory connections rather than rapid structural rewiring, and there is substantial variability between individuals. Overall, evidence supports that even short-term blindfolding can induce genuine, though graded, cross-modal recruitment of visual cortex.

References 191-200.

References

1. [Friston, K., Moran, R., Nagai, Y., Taniguchi, T., Gomi, H., & Tenenbaum, J. \(2021\). World model learning and inference. *Neural networks : the official journal of the International Neural Network Society*, 144, 573-590.](#)
2. [Pezzulo, G., D'Amato, L., Mannella, E., Priorelli, M., Van De Maele, T., Stoianov, I., & Friston, K. \(2023\). Neural representation in active inference: Using generative models to interact with—and understand—the lived world. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1534, 45 - 68.](#)
3. [Honey, C., Newman, E., & Schapiro, A. \(2017\). Switching between internal and external modes: A multiscale learning principle. *Network Neuroscience*, 1, 339 - 356.](#)
4. [Whittington, J., McCaffary, D., Bakermans, J., & Behrens, T. \(2022\). How to build a cognitive map. *Nature Neuroscience*, 25, 1257 - 1272.](#)
5. [Bicanski, A., & Burgess, N. \(2020\). Neuronal vector coding in spatial cognition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 21, 453 - 470.](#)
6. [Bicanski, A., & Burgess, N. \(2018\). A neural-level model of spatial memory and imagery. *eLife*, 7.](#)
7. [Petro, L., & Muckli, L. \(2016\). The brain's predictive prowess revealed in primary visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113, 1124 - 1125.](#)
8. [Land, M. \(2014\). Do we have an internal model of the outside world?. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 369.](#)
9. [Morgan, A., Petro, L., & Muckli, L. \(2019\). Scene Representations Conveyed by Cortical Feedback to Early Visual Cortex Can Be Described by Line Drawings. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 39, 9410 - 9423.](#)
10. [Yazin, F., Majumdar, G., Bramley, N., & Hoffman, P. \(2025\). Fragmentation and multithreading of experience in the default-mode network. *Nature Communications*, 16.](#)
11. [Sprevak, M., & Smith, R. \(2023\). An Introduction to Predictive Processing Models of Perception and Decision-Making.. *Topics in cognitive science*.](#)

12. [Aitchison, L., & Lengyel, M. \(2017\). With or without you: predictive coding and Bayesian inference in the brain. Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 46, 219-227.](#)
13. [Russek, E., Momennejad, I., Botvinick, M., Gershman, S., & Daw, N. \(2017\). Predictive representations can link model-based reinforcement learning to model-free mechanisms. PLoS Computational Biology, 13.](#)
14. [Ramstead, M., Kirchhoff, M., & Friston, K. \(2019\). A tale of two densities: active inference is enactive inference. Adaptive Behavior, 28, 225 - 239.](#)
15. [Rao, R., Gklezacos, D., & Sathish, V. \(2022\). Active Predictive Coding: A Unified Neural Framework for Learning Hierarchical World Models for Perception and Planning. Neural computation, 1-32 .](#)
16. [Ororbia, A., & Kifer, D. \(2020\). The neural coding framework for learning generative models. Nature Communications, 13.](#)
17. [Raja, V., Valluri, D., Baggs, E., Chemero, A., & Anderson, M. \(2021\). The Markov blanket trick: On the scope of the free energy principle and active inference.. Physics of life reviews.](#)
18. [Bruineberg, J., Kiverstein, J., & Rietveld, E. \(2016\). The anticipating brain is not a scientist: the free-energy principle from an ecological-enactive perspective. Synthese, 195, 2417 - 2444.](#)
19. [Friston, K., FitzGerald, T., Rigoli, F., Schwartenbeck, P., & Pezzulo, G. \(2016\). Active Inference: A Process Theory. Neural Computation, 29, 1-49.](#)
20. [Gottwald, S., & Braun, D. \(2020\). The two kinds of free energy and the Bayesian revolution. PLoS Computational Biology, 16.](#)
21. [Goriely, A. \(2024\). Eighty-six billion and counting: do we know the number of neurons in the human brain?. Brain, 148, 689 - 691.](#)
22. [Azevedo, F., Carvalho, L., Grinberg, L., Farfel, J., Ferretti, R., Leite, R., Filho, W., Lent, R., & Herculano-Houzel, S. \(2009\). Equal numbers of neuronal and nonneuronal cells make the human brain an isometrically scaled-up primate brain. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 513.](#)

23. [Herculano-Houzel, S. \(2012\). The remarkable, yet not extraordinary, human brain as a scaled-up primate brain and its associated cost. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109, 10661 - 10668.](#)
24. [Herculano-Houzel, S. \(2009\). The Human Brain in Numbers: A Linearly Scaled-up Primate Brain. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 3.](#)
25. [Bartheld, C., Bahney, J., & Herculano-Houzel, S. \(2016\). The search for true numbers of neurons and glial cells in the human brain: A review of 150 years of cell counting. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 524.](#)
26. [Lent, R., Azevedo, F., Andrade-Moraes, C., & Pinto, A. \(2012\). How many neurons do you have? Some dogmas of quantitative neuroscience under revision. European Journal of Neuroscience, 35.](#)
27. [Milbocker, K., Campbell, T., Collins, N., Kim, S., Smith, E., Roth, T., & Klintsova, A. \(2021\). Glia-Driven Brain Circuit Refinement Is Altered by Early-Life Adversity: Behavioral Outcomes. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 15.](#)
28. [Faust, T., Gunner, G., & Schafer, D. \(2021\). Mechanisms governing activity-dependent synaptic pruning in the developing mammalian CNS. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 22, 657 - 673.](#)
29. [Neniskyte, U., & Gross, C. \(2017\). Errant gardeners: glial-cell-dependent synaptic pruning and neurodevelopmental disorders. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 18, 658-670.](#)
30. [Westacott, L., & Wilkinson, L. \(2022\). Complement Dependent Synaptic Reorganisation During Critical Periods of Brain Development and Risk for Psychiatric Disorder. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 16.](#)
31. [Dayananda, K., Ahmed, S., Wang, D., Polis, B., Islam, R., & Kaffman, A. \(2022\). Early life stress impairs synaptic pruning in the developing hippocampus. Brain, behavior, and immunity, 107, 16 - 31.](#)
32. [Petanjek, Z., Judas, M., Šimić, G., Rasin, M., Uylings, H., Rakic, P., & Kostović, I. \(2011\). Extraordinary neoteny of synaptic spines in the human prefrontal cortex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108, 13281 - 13286.](#)

33. [Petanjek, Z., Sedmak, D., Dzaja, D., Hladnik, A., Rasin, M., & Jovanov-Milošević, N. \(2019\). The Protracted Maturation of Associative Layer IIC Pyramidal Neurons in the Human Prefrontal Cortex During Childhood: A Major Role in Cognitive Development and Selective Alteration in Autism. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 10.](#)
34. [Hagmann, P., Sporns, O., Madan, N., Cammoun, L., Pienaar, R., Wedeen, V., Meuli, R., Thiran, J., & Grant, P. \(2010\). White matter maturation reshapes structural connectivity in the late developing human brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107, 19067 - 19072.](#)
35. [Sun et al. \(2025\). Human lifespan changes in the brain's functional connectome. *Nature Neuroscience*, 28, 891 - 901.](#)
36. [Edde, M., Leroux, G., Altena, E., & Chanraud, S. \(2020\). Functional brain connectivity changes across the human life span: From fetal development to old age. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, 99, 236 - 262.](#)
37. [Siman-Tov, T., Bosak, N., Sprecher, E., Paz, R., Eran, A., Aharon-Peretz, J., & Kahn, I. \(2017\). Early Age-Related Functional Connectivity Decline in High-Order Cognitive Networks. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 8.](#)
38. [Varangis, E., Habeck, C., Razlighi, Q., & Stern, Y. \(2019\). The Effect of Aging on Resting State Connectivity of Predefined Networks in the Brain. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 11.](#)
39. [Mordelt, A., & Witte, L. \(2023\). Microglia-mediated synaptic pruning as a key deficit in neurodevelopmental disorders: Hype or hope?. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 79.](#)
40. [Geake, J. \(2008\). Neuromythologies in education. *Educational Research*, 50, 123 - 133.](#)
41. [Macdonald, K., Germine, L., Anderson, A., Christodoulou, J., & McGrath, L. \(2017\). Dispelling the Myth: Training in Education or Neuroscience Decreases but Does Not Eliminate Beliefs in Neuromyths. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8.](#)
42. [Coulson, S. \(2007\). Electrifying results: ERP data and cognitive linguistics. , 400-423.](#)
43. [Van Elk, M. \(2019\). Socio-cognitive biases are associated to belief in neuromyths and cognitive enhancement: A pre-registered study. *Personality and Individual Differences*.](#)

44. [Robertson, I. \(2000\). "Every cloud ...". British Journal of Psychiatry, 176, 412 - 413.](#)
45. [Mayberry, R., & Kluender, R. \(2018\). Rethinking the critical period for language: New insights into an old question from American Sign Language.. Bilingualism, 21 5, 938-944 .](#)
46. [Mayberry, R., Lock, E., & Kazmi, H. \(2002\). Development: Linguistic ability and early language exposure. Nature, 417, 38-38.](#)
47. [Long, M. \(1990\). Maturational Constraints on Language Development. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 12, 251 - 285.](#)
48. [Mayberry, R., & Lock, E. \(2003\). Age constraints on first versus second language acquisition: Evidence for linguistic plasticity and epigenesis. Brain and Language, 87, 369-384.](#)
49. [Singleton, D., & LeŚniewska, J. \(2021\). The Critical Period Hypothesis for L2 Acquisition: An Unfalsifiable Embarrassment?. Languages.](#)
50. [Johnson, J., & Newport, E. \(1989\). Critical period effects in second language learning: The influence of maturational state on the acquisition of English as a second language. Cognitive Psychology, 21, 60-99.](#)
51. [Sylvestre, A., Bussières, È., & Bouchard, C. \(2016\). Language Problems Among Abused and Neglected Children. Child Maltreatment, 21, 47 - 58.](#)
52. [Culp, R., Watkins, R., Lawrence, H., Letts, D., Kelly, D., & Rice, M. \(1991\). Maltreated children's language and speech development: abused, neglected, and abused and neglected. First Language, 11, 377 - 389.](#)
53. [Sylvestre, A., & Mérette, C. \(2010\). Language delay in severely neglected children: a cumulative or specific effect of risk factors?. Child abuse & neglect, 34 6, 414-28 .](#)
54. [Hryciw, T., Filiatrault-Veilleux, P., Ehnes, A., & Charest, M. \(2026\). Factors Affecting Language Development in the Context of Childhood Trauma: A Scoping Review.. American journal of speech-language pathology, 1-17 .](#)
55. [Palazón-Carrión, E., & Sala-Roca, J. \(2020\). Communication and language in abused and institutionalized minors. A scoping review. Children and Youth Services Review, 112, 104904.](#)

56. [Kavanaugh, B., Dupont-Frechette, J., Jerskey, B., & Holler, K. \(2017\). Neurocognitive deficits in children and adolescents following maltreatment: Neurodevelopmental consequences and neuropsychological implications of traumatic stress. *Applied Neuropsychology: Child*, 6, 64 - 78.](#)
57. [Eigsti, I., & Cicchetti, D. \(2004\). The impact of child maltreatment on expressive syntax at 60 months.. *Developmental science*, 7 1, 88-102 .](#)
58. [Merritt, D., & Klein, S. \(2015\). Do early care and education services improve language development for maltreated children? Evidence from a national child welfare sample.. *Child abuse & neglect*, 39, 185-96 .](#)
59. [Lum, J., Powell, M., Timms, L., & Snow, P. \(2015\). A Meta-Analysis of Cross Sectional Studies Investigating Language in Maltreated Children.. *Journal of speech, language, and hearing research : JSLHR*, 58 3, 961-976 .](#)
60. [Spratt, E., Friedenberg, S., Swenson, C., Larosa, A., De Bellis, M., Macias, M., Summer, A., Hulsey, T., Runyan, D., & Brady, K. \(2012\). The Effects of Early Neglect on Cognitive, Language, and Behavioral Functioning in Childhood.. *Psychology*, 3 2, 175-182 .](#)
61. [Lum, J., Powell, M., & Snow, P. \(2018\). The influence of maltreatment history and out-of-home-care on children's language and social skills.. *Child abuse & neglect*, 76, 65-74 .](#)
62. [Mahon, S. \(2024\). Variation and convergence in the morpho-functional properties of the mammalian neocortex. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 18.](#)
63. [Toro, R. \(2012\). On the Possible Shapes of the Brain. *Evolutionary Biology*, 39, 600-612.](#)
64. [Herculano-Houzel, S. \(2009\). The Human Brain in Numbers: A Linearly Scaled-up Primate Brain. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 3.](#)
65. [Hofman, M. \(2014\). Evolution of the human brain: when bigger is better. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy*, 8.](#)
66. [Valk, S., Xu, T., Margulies, D., Masouleh, S., Paquola, C., Goulas, A., Kochunov, P., Smallwood, J., Yeo, B., Bernhardt, B., & Eickhoff, S. \(2020\). Shaping brain structure: Genetic and phylogenetic axes of macroscale organization of cortical thickness. *Science Advances*, 6.](#)

67. [Miller, D., Bhaduri, A., Šestan, N., & Kriegstein, A. \(2019\). Shared and derived features of cellular diversity in the human cerebral cortex. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 56, 117-124.](#)
68. [Yin, S., Liu, C., Choi, G., Jung, Y., Heuer, K., Toro, R., Mahadevan, L., Simons, M., Henri, M., & Fund, S. \(2025\). Morphogenesis and morphometry of brain folding patterns across species. *eLife*, 14.](#)
69. [Del Valle Anton, L., & Borrell, V. \(2021\). Folding brains: from development to disease modeling.. *Physiological reviews*.](#)
70. [Navakkode, S., & Kennedy, B. \(2024\). Neural ageing and synaptic plasticity: prioritizing brain health in healthy longevity. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 16.](#)
71. [Marzola, P., Melzer, T., Pavesi, E., Gil-Mohapel, J., & Brocardo, P. \(2023\). Exploring the Role of Neuroplasticity in Development, Aging, and Neurodegeneration. *Brain Sciences*, 13.](#)
72. [Burke, S., & Barnes, C. \(2005\). Neural plasticity in the ageing brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 7, 30-40.](#)
73. [Gutchess, A. \(2014\). Plasticity of the aging brain: New directions in cognitive neuroscience. *Science*, 346, 579 - 582.](#)
74. [Park, D., & Bischof, G. \(2013\). The aging mind: neuroplasticity in response to cognitive training. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 15, 109 - 119.](#)
75. [Cabeza, R., Albert, M., Belleville, S., Craik, F., Duarte, A., Grady, C., Lindenberger, U., Nyberg, L., Park, D., Reuter-Lorenz, P., Rugg, M., Steffener, J., & Rajah, M. \(2018\). Maintenance, reserve and compensation: the cognitive neuroscience of healthy ageing. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 19, 701 - 710.](#)
76. [Chapman, S., Aslan, S., Spence, J., Hart, J., Bartz, E., Didehbani, N., Keebler, M., Gardner, C., Strain, J., DeFina, L., & Lu, H. \(2013\). Neural Mechanisms of Brain Plasticity with Complex Cognitive Training in Healthy Seniors. *Cerebral Cortex \(New York, NY\)*, 25, 396 - 405.](#)
77. [Cai, L., Chan, J., Yan, J., & Peng, K. \(2014\). Brain plasticity and motor practice in cognitive aging. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 6.](#)

78. [Leung, N., Tam, H., Chu, L., Kwok, T., Chan, F., Lam, L., Woo, J., & Lee, T. \(2015\). Neural Plastic Effects of Cognitive Training on Aging Brain. *Neural Plasticity*, 2015.](#)
79. [Brenna, C., Chen, S., Cho, M., McCoy, L., & Das, S. \(2023\). Steering clear of Akrasia: An integrative review of self-binding Ulysses Contracts in clinical practice.. *Bioethics*.](#)
80. [Franklin-Hall, A. \(2023\). Binding the Self: The Ethics of Ulysses Contracts. *Ethics*, 134, 57 - 88.](#)
81. [Dresser, R. \(1984\). Bound to treatment: the Ulysses contract.. *The Hastings Center report*, 14 3, 13-6 .](#)
82. [Standing, H., & Lawlor, R. \(2019\). Ulysses Contracts in psychiatric care: helping patients to protect themselves from spiralling. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 45, 693 - 699.](#)
83. [Widdershoven, G., & Berghmans, R. \(2001\). Advance directives in psychiatric care: a narrative approach. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 27, 92 - 97.](#)
84. [Daverio, A., Piazzini, G., & Saya, A. \(2017\). \[Ulysses contract in psychiatry\].. *Rivista di psichiatria*, 52 6, 220-225 .](#)
85. [Lundahl, A., Helgesson, G., & Juth, N. \(2020\). Against Ulysses contracts for patients with borderline personality disorder. *Medicine, Health Care, and Philosophy*, 23, 695 - 703.](#)
86. [Bell, K. \(2014\). Thwarting the Diseased Will: Ulysses Contracts, the Self and Addiction. *Culture, Medicine, and Psychiatry*, 39, 380 - 398.](#)
87. [Walker, T. \(2011\). Ulysses Contracts in Medicine. *Law and Philosophy*, 31, 77-98.](#)
88. [Dresser, R. \(1984\). Bound to treatment: the Ulysses contract.. *The Hastings Center report*, 14 3, 13-6 .](#)
89. [Bennett, D., Buchman, A., Boyle, P., Barnes, L., Wilson, R., & Schneider, J. \(2018\). Religious Orders Study and Rush Memory and Aging Project. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 64, S161 - S189.](#)
90. [Nelson, M., Jester, D., Petkus, A., & Andel, R. \(2021\). Cognitive Reserve, Alzheimer's Neuropathology, and Risk of Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neuropsychology Review*, 31, 233 - 250.](#)

91. [Vockert et al. \(2023\). Cognitive reserve against Alzheimer's pathology is linked to brain activity during memory formation. Nature Communications, 15.](#)
92. [Boyle, P., Yu, L., Wilson, R., Schneider, J., & Bennett, D. \(2013\). Relation of neuropathology with cognitive decline among older persons without dementia. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 5.](#)
93. [Bennett, D., Wilson, R., Boyle, P., Buchman, A., & Schneider, J. \(2012\). Relation of neuropathology to cognition in persons without cognitive impairment. Annals of Neurology, 72.](#)
94. [Lee, D., Seo, S., Roh, J., Oh, M., Oh, J., Oh, S., Kim, J., & Jeong, Y. \(2022\). Effects of Cognitive Reserve in Alzheimer's Disease and Cognitively Unimpaired Individuals. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 13.](#)
95. [Lee, D., Lee, P., Seo, S., Roh, J., Oh, M., Oh, J., Oh, S., Kim, J., & Jeong, Y. \(2019\). Neural substrates of cognitive reserve in Alzheimer's disease spectrum and normal aging. NeuroImage, 186, 690-702.](#)
96. [Soldan, A., Pettigrew, C., Cai, Q., Wang, J., Wang, M., Moghekar, A., Miller, M., & Albert, M. \(2017\). Cognitive reserve and long-term change in cognition in aging and preclinical Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiology of Aging, 60, 164-172.](#)
97. [Bennett, D., Schneider, J., Arvanitakis, Z., & Wilson, R. \(2012\). Overview and findings from the religious orders study.. Current Alzheimer research, 9 6, 628-45 .](#)
98. [Anthony, M., & Lin, F. \(2018\). A Systematic Review for Functional Neuroimaging Studies of Cognitive Reserve Across the Cognitive Aging Spectrum. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 33, 937-948.](#)
99. [Sewdas, R., De Wind, A., Stenholm, S., Coenen, P., Louwse, I., Boot, C., & Van Der Beek, A. \(2020\). Association between retirement and mortality: working longer, living longer? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 74, 473 - 480.](#)

100. [Wu, C., Odden, M., Fisher, G., & Stawski, R. \(2016\). Association of retirement age with mortality: a population-based longitudinal study among older adults in the USA. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 70, 917 - 923.](#)
101. [Brockmann, H., Müller, R., & Helmert, U. \(2009\). Time to retire--time to die? A prospective cohort study of the effects of early retirement on long-term survival.. *Social science & medicine*, 69 2, 160-4 .](#)
102. [Jacobsen, P., Kragholm, K., Andersen, M., Lindgren, F., Ringgren, K., Torp-Pedersen, C., & Weinreich, U. \(2022\). Voluntary early retirement and mortality in patients with and without chronic diseases: a nationwide Danish Registry study.. *Public health*, 211, 114-121 .](#)
103. [Bamia, C., Trichopoulou, A., & Trichopoulos, D. \(2007\). Age at retirement and mortality in a general population sample: the Greek EPIC study.. *American journal of epidemiology*, 167 5, 561-9 .](#)
104. [Tsai, S., Wendt, J., Donnelly, R., De Jong, G., & Ahmed, F. \(2005\). Age at retirement and long term survival of an industrial population: prospective cohort study. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*, 331, 995.](#)
105. [Bloemen, H., Hochguertel, S., & Zweerink, J. \(2013\). The causal effect of retirement on mortality: Evidence from targeted incentives to retire early. *Health Economics*, 26, e204–e218.](#)
106. [Celidoni, M., Bianco, D., & Weber, G. \(2017\). Retirement and cognitive decline. A longitudinal analysis using SHARE data.. *Journal of health economics*, 56, 113-125 .](#)
107. [Liu, A., Patel, M., Gross, A., Mosley, T., Schneider, A., Kucharska-Newton, A., Sharrett, A., Gottesman, R., & Koton, S. \(2024\). Occupation, Retirement Age, and 20-Year Cognitive Decline: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Neurocognitive Study. *Neuroepidemiology*, 58, 292 - 299.](#)
108. [Clouston, S., & Denier, N. \(2017\). Mental retirement and health selection: Analyses from the U.S. Health and Retirement Study.. *Social science & medicine*, 178, 78-86 .](#)
109. [Carmel, S., & Tur-Sinai, A. \(2021\). Cognitive decline among European retirees: impact of early retirement, nation-related and personal characteristics. *Ageing and Society*, 42, 2343 - 2369.](#)

110. [Zotcheva, E., Strand, B., Bowen, C., Bratsberg, B., Jugessur, A., Engdahl, B., Selbaek, G., Kohler, H., Harris, J., Weiss, J., Grøtting, M., Tom, S., Krokstad, S., Stern, Y., Håberg, A., & Skirbekk, V. \(2023\). Retirement age and disability status as pathways to later-life cognitive impairment: Evidence from the Norwegian HUNT Study linked with Norwegian population registers. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 38.](#)
111. [Hamm, J., Heckhausen, J., Shane, J., & Lachman, M. \(2020\). Risk of cognitive declines with retirement: Who declines and why?. *Psychology and aging*.](#)
112. [Meng, A., Nexø, M., & Borg, V. \(2017\). The impact of retirement on age related cognitive decline – a systematic review. *BMC Geriatrics*, 17.](#)
113. [Sommerlad, A., Kivimäki, M., Larson, E., Röhr, S., Shirai, K., Singh-Manoux, A., & Livingston, G. \(2023\). Social participation and risk of developing dementia. *Nature Aging*, 3, 532 - 545.](#)
114. [Joshi, P., Hendrie, K., Jester, D., Dasarathy, D., Lavretsky, H., Ku, B., Leutwyler, H., Torous, J., Jeste, D., & Tampi, R. \(2023\). Social connections as determinants of cognitive health and as targets for social interventions in persons with or at risk of Alzheimer's disease and related disorders: a scoping review. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 36, 92 - 118.](#)
115. [Penninkilampi, R., Casey, A., Singh, M., & Brodaty, H. \(2018\). The Association between Social Engagement, Loneliness, and Risk of Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 66, 1619 - 1633.](#)
116. [Wang, S., Molassiotis, A., Guo, C., Leung, I., & Leung, A. \(2022\). Association between social integration and risk of dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 71, 632 - 645.](#)
117. [Matsuyama, Y., Shirai, K., & Aida, J. \(2025\). Sustained social participation and dementia: evidence from a Japanese longitudinal cohort study with a time-varying exposure analysis.. *Social science & medicine*, 385, 118608 .](#)
118. [Majoka, M., & Schimming, C. \(2021\). Effect of Social Determinants of Health on Cognition and Risk of Alzheimer Disease and Related Dementias.. *Clinical therapeutics*.](#)
119. [Simon, S., Cappi, C., De Deus Cabral, J., Texeira, R., Alves, G., & De Oliveira, B. \(2025\). The role of social participation in cognitive health in an underserved older population: Evidence from AfroBrazilian-Quilombola Communities.. *International psychogeriatrics*, 100138 .](#)

120. [Sugata, H., Iwane, F., Hayward, W., Azzollini, V., Dash, D., Salamanca-Giron, R., Bönstrup, M., Buch, E., & Cohen, L. \(2025\). Cingulate and striatal hubs are linked to early skill learning. *NeuroImage*, 121566 .](#)
121. [Puttemans, V., Wenderoth, N., & Swinnen, S. \(2005\). Changes in Brain Activation during the Acquisition of a Multifrequency Bimanual Coordination Task: From the Cognitive Stage to Advanced Levels of Automaticity. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 25, 4270 - 4278.](#)
122. [Debaere, F., Wenderoth, N., Sunaert, S., Hecke, P., & Swinnen, S. \(2004\). Changes in brain activation during the acquisition of a new bimanual coordination task. *Neuropsychologia*, 42, 855-867.](#)
123. [Lohse, K., Wadden, K., Boyd, L., & Hodges, N. \(2014\). Motor skill acquisition across short and long time scales: A meta-analysis of neuroimaging data. *Neuropsychologia*, 59, 130-141.](#)
124. [Ruitenbergh, M., Ruitenbergh, M., Koppelmans, V., Koppelmans, V., Dios, Y., Gadd, N., Wood, S., Reuter-Lorenz, P., Kofman, I., Bloomberg, J., Mulavara, A., Seidler, R., & Seidler, R. \(2018\). Neural correlates of multi-day learning and savings in sensorimotor adaptation. *Scientific Reports*, 8.](#)
125. [Durand-Ruel, M., Park, C., Moyne, M., Maceira-Elvira, P., Morishita, T., & Hummel, F. \(2023\). Early motor skill acquisition in healthy older adults: brain correlates of the learning process. *Cerebral Cortex \(New York, NY\)*, 33, 7356 - 7368.](#)
126. [Li, X., Jin, M., Zhang, N., Wei, H., Fu, L., & Qi, Q. \(2024\). Neural correlates of fine motor grasping skills: Longitudinal insights into motor cortex activation using fNIRS. *Brain and Behavior*, 14.](#)
127. [Floyer-Lea, A., & Matthews, P. \(2005\). Distinguishable brain activation networks for short- and long-term motor skill learning.. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 94 1, 512-8 .](#)
128. [Coro, G., Masetti, G., Bonhoeffer, P., & Betcher, M. \(2019\). Distinguishing Violinists and Pianists Based on Their Brain Signals. , 123-137.](#)
129. [Olszewska, A., Gaca, M., Herman, A., Jednoróg, K., & Marchewka, A. \(2021\). How Musical Training Shapes the Adult Brain: Predispositions and Neuroplasticity. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 15.](#)

130. [Gärtner, H., Minnerop, M., Pieperhoff, P., Schleicher, A., Zilles, K., Altenmüller, E., & Amunts, K. \(2013\). Brain morphometry shows effects of long-term musical practice in middle-aged keyboard players. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4.](#)
131. [Hyde, K., Lerch, J., Norton, A., Forgeard, M., Winner, E., Evans, A., & Schlaug, G. \(2009\). Musical Training Shapes Structural Brain Development. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 29, 3019 - 3025.](#)
132. [Groussard, M., Viader, F., Landeau, B., Desgranges, B., Eustache, F., & Platel, H. \(2014\). The effects of musical practice on structural plasticity: The dynamics of grey matter changes. *Brain and Cognition*, 90, 174-180.](#)
133. [Hyde, K., Lerch, J., Norton, A., Forgeard, M., Winner, E., Evans, A., & Schlaug, G. \(2009\). The Effects of Musical Training on Structural Brain Development. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1169.](#)
134. [Gaser, C., & Schlaug, G. \(2003\). Brain Structures Differ between Musicians and Non-Musicians. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 23, 9240 - 9245.](#)
135. [Klein, C., Liem, F., Hänggi, J., Elmer, S., & Jäncke, L. \(2016\). The “silent” imprint of musical training. *Human Brain Mapping*, 37.](#)
136. [Crisuolo, A., Pando-Naude, V., Bonetti, L., Vuust, P., & Brattico, E. \(2022\). An ALE meta-analytic review of musical expertise. *Scientific Reports*, 12.](#)
137. [Habibi, A., Damasio, A., Ilari, B., Veiga, R., Joshi, A., Leahy, R., Haldar, J., Varadarajan, D., Bhushan, C., & Damasio, H. \(2018\). Childhood Music Training Induces Change in Micro and Macroscopic Brain Structure: Results from a Longitudinal Study. *Cerebral Cortex*, 28, 4336–4347.](#)
138. [Choi, U., Sung, Y., Hong, S., Chung, J., & Ogawa, S. \(2015\). Structural and functional plasticity specific to musical training with wind instruments. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 9.](#)
139. [Silva, N., Barha, C., Erickson, K., Kramer, A., & Liu-Ambrose, T. \(2024\). Physical exercise, cognition, and brain health in aging. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 47, 402-417.](#)

140. [Dhahbi, W., Briki, W., Heissel, A., Schega, L., Dergaa, I., Guelmami, N., Omri, A., & Chaabène, H. \(2025\). Physical Activity to Counter Age-Related Cognitive Decline: Benefits of Aerobic, Resistance, and Combined Training—A Narrative Review. *Sports Medicine - Open*, 11.](#)
141. [Cabral, D., Rice, J., Morris, T., Rundek, T., Pascual-Leone, Á., & Gomes-Osman, J. \(2019\). Exercise for Brain Health: An Investigation into the Underlying Mechanisms Guided by Dose. *Neurotherapeutics*, 16, 580 - 599.](#)
142. [Bliss, E., Wong, R., Howe, P., & Mills, D. \(2020\). Benefits of exercise training on cerebrovascular and cognitive function in ageing. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism*, 41, 447 - 470.](#)
143. [Karamacoska, D., Butt, A., Leung, I., Childs, R., Metri, N., Uruthiran, V., Tan, T., Sabag, A., & Steiner-Lim, G. \(2023\). Brain function effects of exercise interventions for cognitive decline: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 17.](#)
144. [Herrera, S., & Leon-Rojas, J. \(2024\). The Effect of Aerobic Exercise in Neuroplasticity, Learning, and Cognition: A Systematic Review. *Cureus*, 16.](#)
145. [Mandolesi, L., Polverino, A., Montuori, S., Foti, F., Ferraioli, G., Sorrentino, P., & Sorrentino, G. \(2018\). Effects of Physical Exercise on Cognitive Functioning and Wellbeing: Biological and Psychological Benefits. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9.](#)
146. [De Sousa Fernandes, M., Ordônio, T., Santos, G., Santos, L., Calazans, C., Gomes, D., & Santos, T. \(2020\). Effects of Physical Exercise on Neuroplasticity and Brain Function: A Systematic Review in Human and Animal Studies. *Neural Plasticity*, 2020.](#)
147. [Zhang, M., Fang, W., & Wang, J. \(2025\). Effects of human concurrent aerobic and resistance training on cognitive health: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology : IJCHP*, 25.](#)
148. [Ezzdine, L., Dhahbi, W., Dergaa, I., Ceylan, H., Guelmami, N., Saad, B., Chamari, K., Ștefănică, V., & Omri, A. \(2025\). Physical activity and neuroplasticity in neurodegenerative disorders: a comprehensive review of exercise interventions, cognitive training, and AI applications. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 19.](#)

149. [Xu, L., Gu, H., Cai, X., Zhang, Y., Hou, X., Yu, J., & Sun, T. \(2023\). The Effects of Exercise for Cognitive Function in Older Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20.](#)
150. [Hortobágyi, T., Větrovský, T., Balbim, G., Silva, N., Manca, A., Deriu, F., Kolmos, M., Kruuse, C., Liu-Ambrose, T., Radák, Z., Vácz, M., Johansson, H., Santos, P., Franzén, E., & Granacher, U. \(2022\). The impact of aerobic and resistance training intensity on markers of neuroplasticity in health and disease. Ageing Research Reviews, 80.](#)
151. [Morales, A. \(2005\). Giving Firms an “E” for Effort: Consumer Responses to High-Effort Firms. Journal of Consumer Research, 31, 806-812.](#)
152. [Harmon-Jones, E., Willoughby, C., Paul, K., & Harmon-Jones, C. \(2020\). The effect of perceived effort and perceived control on reward valuation: Using the reward positivity to test a dissonance theory prediction. Biological Psychology, 154.](#)
153. [Balogh, P., Békési, D., Gorton, M., Popp, J., & Lengyel, P. \(2016\). Consumer willingness to pay for traditional food products. Food Policy, 61, 176-184.](#)
154. [Kovács, I., & Keresztes, É. \(2022\). Perceived Consumer Effectiveness and Willingness to Pay for Credence Product Attributes of Sustainable Foods. Sustainability.](#)
155. [Chen, L., Li, T., Jia, F., & Schoenherr, T. \(2022\). The impact of governmental COVID-19 measures on manufacturers' stock market valuations: The role of labor intensity and operational slack. Journal of Operations Management.](#)
156. [Norton, M., Mochon, D., & Ariely, D. \(2011\). The 'IKEA Effect': When Labor Leads to Love. O&M: Personnel Management eJournal.](#)
157. [Harmon-Jones, E., Matis, S., Angus, D., & Harmon-Jones, C. \(2024\). Does effort increase or decrease reward valuation? Considerations from cognitive dissonance theory.. Psychophysiology, e14536.](#)
158. [Harmon-Jones, E., Willoughby, C., Paul, K., & Harmon-Jones, C. \(2020\). The effect of perceived effort and perceived control on reward valuation: Using the reward positivity to test a dissonance theory prediction. Biological Psychology, 154.](#)

159. [Pelled, A., Demetriades, S., & Walter, N. \(2025\). Labor Leads to Love, Right? A Meta-Analysis of the IKEA Effect. *Psychology & Marketing*, 43, 388 - 400.](#)
160. [Caucheteux, C., & King, J. \(2022\). Brains and algorithms partially converge in natural language processing. *Communications Biology*, 5.](#)
161. [Macpherson, T., Churchland, A., Sejnowski, T., DiCarlo, J., Kamitani, Y., Takahashi, H., & Hikida, T. \(2021\). Natural and Artificial Intelligence: A brief introduction to the interplay between AI and neuroscience research. *Neural networks : the official journal of the International Neural Network Society*, 144, 603-613 .](#)
162. [Chen, Y., Wei, Z., Gou, H., Liu, H., Gao, L., He, X., & Zhang, X. \(2022\). How far is brain-inspired artificial intelligence away from brain?. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 16.](#)
163. [Korteling, J., Boer-Visschedijk, G., Blankendaal, R., Boonekamp, R., & Eikelboom, A. \(2021\). Human- versus Artificial Intelligence. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 4.](#)
164. [Rolls, E. \(2024\). The memory systems of the human brain and generative artificial intelligence. *Heliyon*, 10.](#)
165. [Wang, G., Bao, H., Liu, Q., Zhou, T., Wu, S., Huang, T., Yu, Z., Lu, C., Gong, Y., Zhang, Z., & He, S. \(2024\). Brain-inspired artificial intelligence research: A review. *Science China Technological Sciences*, 67, 2282 - 2296.](#)
166. [Dell'Acqua, F., McFowland, E., Mollick, E., Lifshitz-Assaf, H., Kellogg, K., Rajendran, S., Krayer, L., Candelon, F., & Lakhani, K. \(2023\). Navigating the Jagged Technological Frontier: Field Experimental Evidence of the Effects of AI on Knowledge Worker Productivity and Quality. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.](#)
167. [Maharana, A., Kamath, A., Clark, C., Bansal, M., & Kembhavi, A. \(2023\). Exposing and Addressing Cross-Task Inconsistency in Unified Vision-Language Models. *ArXiv*, \[abs/2303.16133\]\(#\).](#)
168. [Zhou, L., Schellaert, W., Martínez-Plumed, F., Moros-Daval, Y., Ferri, C., & Hernández-Orallo, J. \(2024\). Larger and more instructable language models become less reliable. *Nature*, 634, 61 - 68.](#)

169. [Bainbridge, W., Pounder, Z., Eardley, A., & Baker, C. \(2019\). Quantifying aphantasia through drawing: Those without visual imagery show deficits in object but not spatial memory. Cortex, 135, 159-172.](#)
170. [Balas, B. \(2024\). Mid-level Characteristics of Drawings Made by Observers with Aphantasia. J. Percept. Imaging, 7, 1-8.](#)
171. [Milton, F., Fulford, J., Dance, C., Gaddum, J., Heurman-Williamson, B., Jones, K., Knight, K., MacKisack, M., Winlove, C., & Zeman, A. \(2021\). Behavioral and Neural Signatures of Visual Imagery Vividness Extremes: Aphantasia versus Hyperphantasia. Cerebral Cortex Communications, 2.](#)
172. [Reeder, R., Pounder, Z., Figueroa, A., Jüllig, A., & Azañón, E. \(2024\). Non-visual spatial strategies are effective for maintaining precise information in visual working memory. Cognition, 251.](#)
173. [MacKisack, M., Aldworth, S., Macpherson, F., Onians, J., Winlove, C., & Zeman, A. \(2022\). Plural Imagination: Diversity in Mind and Making. Art Journal, 81, 70 - 87.](#)
174. [Zeman, A. \(2024\). Aphantasia and hyperphantasia: exploring imagery vividness extremes. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 28, 467-480.](#)
175. [Keogh, R., Wicken, M., & Pearson, J. \(2021\). Visual working memory in aphantasia: Retained accuracy and capacity with a different strategy. Cortex, 143, 237-253.](#)
176. [Kay, L., Keogh, R., & Pearson, J. \(2024\). Slower but more accurate mental rotation performance in aphantasia linked to differences in cognitive strategies. Consciousness and Cognition, 121.](#)
177. [Keogh, R., Pearson, J., & Zeman, A. \(2021\). Aphantasia: The science of visual imagery extremes.. Handbook of clinical neurology, 178, 277-296 .](#)
178. [Azañón, E., Pounder, Z., Figueroa, A., & Reeder, R. \(2025\). Individual variability in mental imagery vividness does not predict perceptual interference with imagery: A replication study of Cui et al. \(2007\).. Journal of experimental psychology. General.](#)
179. [Ward, J. \(2021\). Synesthesia.. Annual review of psychology, 64, 49-75 .](#)

180. [Simner, J., Mulvenna, C., Sagiv, N., Tsakanikos, E., Witherby, S., Fraser, C., Scott, K., & Ward, J. \(2006\). Synaesthesia: The Prevalence of Atypical Cross-Modal Experiences. Perception, 35, 1024 - 1033.](#)
181. [Hubbard, E. \(2007\). Neurophysiology of synesthesia. Current Psychiatry Reports, 9, 193-199.](#)
182. [Safran, A., & Sanda, N. \(2014\). Color synesthesia. Insight into perception, emotion, and consciousness. Current Opinion in Neurology, 28, 36 - 44.](#)
183. [Rouw, R., & Scholte, H. \(2016\). Personality and cognitive profiles of a general synesthetic trait. Neuropsychologia, 88, 35-48.](#)
184. [Théoret, H., Merabet, L., & Pascual-Leone, Á. \(2004\). Behavioral and neuroplastic changes in the blind: evidence for functionally relevant cross-modal interactions. Journal of Physiology-Paris, 98, 221-233.](#)
185. [Cohen, L., Celnik, P., Pascual-Leone, Á., Corwell, B., Faiz, L., Dambrosia, J., Honda, M., Sadato, N., Gerloff, C., Catalá, M., & Hallett, M. \(1997\). Functional relevance of cross-modal plasticity in blind humans. Nature, 389, 180-183.](#)
186. [Merabet, L., Hamilton, R., Schlaug, G., Swisher, J., Kiriakopoulos, E., Pitskel, N., Kauffman, T., & Pascual-Leone, Á. \(2008\). Rapid and Reversible Recruitment of Early Visual Cortex for Touch. PLoS ONE, 3.](#)
187. [Nau, A., Murphy, M., & Chan, K. \(2015\). Use of sensory substitution devices as a model system for investigating cross-modal neuroplasticity in humans. Neural Regeneration Research, 10, 1717 - 1719.](#)
188. [Ortiz-Terán, L., Ortiz, T., Perez, D., Aragón, J., Díez, I., Pascual-Leone, Á., & Sepulcre, J. \(2016\). Brain Plasticity in Blind Subjects Centralizes Beyond the Modal Cortices. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 10.](#)
189. [Cecchetti, L., Kupers, R., Ptito, M., Pietrini, P., & Ricciardi, E. \(2016\). Are Supramodality and Cross-Modal Plasticity the Yin and Yang of Brain Development? From Blindness to Rehabilitation. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 10.](#)
190. [Saccone, E., Tian, M., & Bedny, M. \(2024\). Developing cortex is functionally pluripotent: Evidence from blindness. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 66.](#)

191. [Eagleman, D., & Vaughn, D. \(2021\). The Defensive Activation Theory: REM Sleep as a Mechanism to Prevent Takeover of the Visual Cortex. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 15.](#)
192. [Knopper, R., & Hansen, B. \(2023\). Locus coeruleus and the defensive activation theory of rapid eye movement sleep: A mechanistic perspective. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 17.](#)
193. [Zhou, Y., Lai, C., Bai, Y., Li, W., Zhao, R., Yang, G., Frank, M., & Gan, W. \(2020\). REM sleep promotes experience-dependent dendritic spine elimination in the mouse cortex. *Nature Communications*, 11.](#)
194. [Hong, C., Fallon, J., & Friston, K. \(2021\). fMRI Evidence for Default Mode Network Deactivation Associated with Rapid Eye Movements in Sleep. *Brain Sciences*, 11.](#)
195. [Renouard, L., Hayworth, C., Rempe, M., Clegern, W., Wisor, J., & Frank, M. \(2022\). REM sleep promotes bidirectional plasticity in developing visual cortex in vivo. *Neurobiology of Sleep and Circadian Rhythms*, 12.](#)
196. [Aton, S., Suresh, A., Broussard, C., & Frank, M. \(2014\). Sleep promotes cortical response potentiation following visual experience.. *Sleep*, 37 7, 1163-70 .](#)
197. [Merabet, L., Hamilton, R., Schlaug, G., Swisher, J., Kiriakopoulos, E., Pitskel, N., Kauffman, T., & Pascual-Leone, Á. \(2008\). Rapid and Reversible Recruitment of Early Visual Cortex for Touch. *PLoS ONE*, 3.](#)
198. [Lazzouni, L., Voss, P., & Lepore, F. \(2012\). Short-term crossmodal plasticity of the auditory steady-state response in blindfolded sighted individuals. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 35.](#)
199. [Théoret, H., Merabet, L., & Pascual-Leone, Á. \(2004\). Behavioral and neuroplastic changes in the blind: evidence for functionally relevant cross-modal interactions. *Journal of Physiology-Paris*, 98, 221-233.](#)
200. [Sathian, K., & Stilla, R. \(2010\). Cross-modal plasticity of tactile perception in blindness. *Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience*, 28, 271 - 281.](#)